```
ROBERT C. CARLSON, ESQ.
 1
    Nevada Bar No. 8015
   JASON W. WILLIAMS, ESQ.
 2
    Nevada Bar No. 8310
    KOELLER NEBEKER CARLSON & HALUCK, LLP
    300 South Fourth Street, Suite 500
   Las Vegas, NV 89101
   Phone: (702) 853-5500
   Fax: (702) 853-5599
    Attorneys for Defendant
   PN II, Inc., d/b/a Pulte Homes of Nevada,
   Pulte Building Systems, LLC, Del Webb
   Communities. Inc., and Centex Homes
 7
                           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
                                 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 9
    FULTON PARK UNIT OWNERS'
                                                 CASE NO. 2:11-ev-00783- NDF-MLC
   ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit community)
10
    association, individually and in its representative )
                                                 CONSOLIDATED WITH:
   capacity; LEON TURNER, an individual,
                                                 2:11-ey-01223
11
                                                 2:11-cv-00812
   individually and in his representative capacity;
   MICHAEL CROWDER, , an individual,
                                                 2:11-cv-00830
12
                                                 2:11-cv-01498
    individually and in his representative capacity:
    TRICIA CROWDER, , an individual, individually)
                                                 2:11-ev-01875
13
    and in her representative capacity; LISA LYNN, , )
                                                 2:11-ev-00206
   an individual, individually and in her
                                                 2:11-cv-00207
14
                                                 2:11-cv-00002
    representative capacity; RAINA MUSSER, , an
   individual, individually and in her representative
                                                 2:11-ev-00425
15
   capacity; LINDA TRIMMER, an individual,
   individually and in her representative capacity;
                                                 DEFENDANTS PN II, INC., dba
16
    DOE HOMEOWNER/CONDOMINIUM/
                                                 PULTE HOMES OF NEVADA, PULTE
    COMMUNITY ASSOCIATIONS 1-10,000; DOE)
                                                 BUILDING SYSTEMS, LLC, DEL
17
   HOMEOWNERS 1-250,000,
                                                 WEBB COMMUNITIES, INC. AND
                                                 CENTEX HOMES' MOTION TO
18
                             Plaintiffs,
                                                 STRIKE CLASS ALLEGATIONS
                                                 FROM PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED
    VS.
19
                                                 COMPLAINT
   PN II, INC. d/b/a/ PULTE HOMES OF
20
    NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; D.R.
   HORTON, INC., a Delaware corporation;
21
    WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois corporation;
    UPONOR WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois
22
    company; UPONOR, INC., an Illinois
   corporation; UPONOR CORPORATION, a
23
    Finnish corporation; UPONOR NORTH
    AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; ROE
    INDIVIDUALS 1-10,000, individuals; ROE
   BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-
25
    10,000, including Nevada corporations; ROE
    INDIVIDUALS 10,001-20,000 and ROE
26
    BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES
    10,001-20,000, including Nevada corporations,
27
                             Defendants.
28
```

225498 Page 1 of 4

# DEFENDANTS PN II, INC., dba PULTE HOMES OF NEVADA, PULTE BUILDING SYSTEMS, LLC, DEL WEBB COMMUNITIES, INC. AND CENTEX HOMES' MOTION TO STRIKE CLASS ALLEGATIONS

COME NOW DEFENDANTS, PN II, INC., d/b/a PULTE HOMES OF NEVADA, PULTE BUILDING SYSTEMS, LLC, DEL WEBB COMMUNITIES, INC., and CENTEX HOMES (hereinafter, collectively "Pulte" or "Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record, Koeller, Nebeker, Carlson & Haluck, LLP, and submit this Motion to Strike Class Allegations.

This Motion and the relief requested thereon is based upon the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the pleadings and papers on file herein, and such other and further evidence as may be allowed by this Honorable Court.

### **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

#### I. ARGUMENT

#### A. Motion to Strike Standard.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f), "[t]he court may strike from a pleading an insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter. "Pleadings" as defined by the Federal Rules, include a complaint Fed. R. Civ. P. 7. The purpose of a motion to strike is to avoid litigating "spurious issues" by dispensing with them prior to trial. *Sidney-Vinstein v. AH Robins Co.*, 697 F.2d 880, 885 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983) (citations omitted).

# B. The Court should strike Paragraphs 122-131 of the Amended Complaint because Class Allegations were Adjudicated and Denied.

The Court dismissed the class claims raised in the Amended Complaint against the non-Uponor defendants by way of its Order dated November 27, 2013: "Plaintiffs Amended Motion to Certify Class [Doc. No. 691] is DENIED as to all non-Uponor Defendants." *Order*, Docket 1206, Page 63 ¶ 2. As a result of the ruling, the Court ordered the Plaintiffs to adjudicate all remaining claims in *Fulton Park*. *Id.* Yet the Amended Complaint in *Fulton Park* contains identical class allegations contrary to the Order, which provided a host of reasons why Plaintiffs' claims are not suitable for class adjudication.

## Case 2:11-cv-00783-NDF-MLC Document 125 Filed 03/13/14 Page 3 of 4

For example, "The truth or falsity of claims relating to the non-Uponor Defendants, particularly those concerning Chapter 40 compliance by Plaintiffs and unnamed homeowners, are non susceptible to common proof which would be capable of resolving an issue that is central to the validity of each one of the claims in one stroke." *Order* Page 61, ¶ 2. The Court also cited questions regarding statutes of limitation, settled homeowners, homeowners who did not respond to development-wide notices sent by builders, notice to subsequent purchasers, and the inherent nature of many claims (such as violations of the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act) to require individualized inquiries into reliance, for example, as evidence that these claims will never be susceptible to common proof to meet the requirements of Rule 23. *Id.* at Pages 58-60.

As a result of this Court's ruling, and the nature of the case as one requiring individual inquires such that the requirements of Rule 23 can never be met, Pulte respectfully requests that the Court strike all class allegations from the Amended Complaint, Paragraphs 122-131, in conformity with its prior Order and the fact that issues related to Chapter 40 compliance and the causes of action raised by Plaintiffs have not changed since the ruling. *Order*, Docket 1206, on file herein; Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f) (motions to strike for redundant matters).

#### III. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Defendants respectfully request that the Court strike all class allegations from Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint.

DATED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2014.

KOELLER, NEBEKER, CARLSON & HALUCK, LLP

By:

JASON W. WILLIAMS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 8310

300 South Fourth Street, Suite 500

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Defendants PN II, Inc.,

dba Pulte Homes of Nevada, Pulte Building Systems, LLC Del Webb Communities, Inc.,

F01117

And Centex Homes

(	ERTI	FICATI	E OF	SER	VICE		
. 1		1	4		C 41	1	

I HEREBY CERTIFY that true and correct copies of the above DEFENDANTS PN II, INC., dba PULTE HOMES OF NEVADA, PULTE BUILDING SYSTEMS, LLC, DEL WEBB COMMUNITIES, INC., and CENTEX HOMES' MOTION TO STRIKE CLASS ALLEGATIONS was served on all counsel of record via the United States District Court CM/ECF system this 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2014.

An Employee of Koeller, Nebeker, Carlson & Haluck, LLP

225498 Page 4 of 4